

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 23329 of 2004

Between:

Mir Yousuf Ali S/o.Late M.G.Ali
r/o.18-8-192/9/A, Beside Santoshnagar Police Station, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 Government of A.P.Minorities Welfare Department rep. by its Principal Secretary.
- 2 Chief Executive Officer, A.P.State Wakf Board Nampally, Hyderabad.
- 3 A.P.State Wakf Board rep. by its Chairman, Nampally, Hyd.,

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the second respondent in not furnishing the files and records relating to the matters mentioned in the show cause notice for the purpose of enabling the members of the Wakf Board to submit their explanation to the show cause notice issued by the State Government under Section 99 of the Wakf Act 1955, as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and in violation of the petitioners rights and principles of natural justice and consequently direct first respondent to forthwith repatriate the second respondent and depute some other officer as Chief Executive Officer of the Wakf Board and further direct the respondents 2 and 3 to place all the concerned records before the Wakf Board for the purpose of enabling the Wakf Board to effectively give reply to the said show cause notice issued by the first respondent and pass such other orders as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.NIRANJAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.A.M.QURESHI (SC FOR AP WAKF BOARD)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following order :

ORDER:

Sri Pandu Ranga Reddy, learned counsel, appearing for the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the writ petition has become infructuous. In view of the submission of the learned counsel, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.15-12-2004

KO

To

- 1 Government of A.P.Minorities Welfare Department rep. by its Principal Secretary.
- 2 Chief Executive Officer, A.P.State Wakf Board Nampally, Hyderabad.
- 3 A.P.State Wakf Board rep. by its Chairman, Nampally, Hyderabad.

4. 2 C.D. copies.

